

- tematic review and meta-analysis of endocrine-related adverse events associated with immune checkpoint inhibitors [J]. *Horm Metab Res*, 2019,51(3):145-156.
- [15] AGRAWAL L, BACAL A, JAIN S, et al. Immune checkpoint inhibitors and endocrine side effects, a narrative review[J]. *Postgrad Med*, 2020, 132(2):206-214.
- [16] NI J, ZHANG L, ZHANG X. Marked elevation of creatine phosphokinase alone caused by sintilimab-beware of hypothyroid myopathy[J]. *Eur J Cancer*, 2020, 128:57-59.
- [17] ZHOU Y, XIA R, XIAO H, et al. Thyroid function abnormality induced by PD-1 inhibitors have a positive impact on survival in patients with non-small cell lung cancer[J]. *Int Immunopharmacol*, 2021, 91:107296.
- [18] IWAMA S, DEREMIGIS A, CALLAHAN M K, et al. Pituitary expression of CTLA-4 mediates hypophysitis secondary to administration of CTLA-4 blocking antibody[J]. *Sci Transl Med*, 2014, 6(230):230ra45.
- [19] DILLARD T, YEDINAK C G, ALUMKAL J, et al. Anti-CTLA-4 antibody therapy associated autoimmune hypophysitis: Serious immune related adverse events across a spectrum of cancer subtypes[J]. *Pituitary*, 2010, 13(1):29-38.
- [20] BACANOVIC S, BURGER I A, STOLZMANN P, et al. Ipilimumab-induced adrenalitis: A possible pitfall in 18F-FDG-PET/CT[J]. *Clin Nucl Med*, 2015, 40(11):e518-e519.
- [21] HANNA R M, SELAMET U, BUI P, et al. Acute kidney injury after pembrolizumab-induced adrenalitis and adrenal insufficiency[J]. *Case Rep Nephrol Dial*, 2018, 8(2):171-177.
- [22] HIGHAM C E, OLSSON-BROWN A, CARROLL P, et al. Society for endocrinology endocrine emergency guidance: Acute management of the endocrine complications of checkpoint inhibitor therapy[J]. *Endocr Connect*, 2018, 7(7):G1-G7.
- [23] TRAINER H, HULSE P, HIGHAM C E, et al. Hyponatraemia secondary to nivolumab-induced primary adrenal failure[J]. *Endocrinol Diabetes Metab Case Rep*, 2016(1):1-4.
- [24] QUANDT Z, YOUNG A, ANDERSON M. Immune checkpoint inhibitor diabetes mellitus: A novel form of autoimmune diabetes[J]. *Clin Exp Immunol*, 2020, 200(2):131-140.
- [25] STAMATOULI A M, QUANDT Z, PERDIGOTO A L, et al. Collateral damage: Insulin-dependent diabetes induced with checkpoint inhibitors[J]. *Diabetes*, 2018, 67(8):1471-1480.
- [26] GAUCI M L, LALY P, VIDAL-TRECAN T, et al. Autoimmune diabetes induced by PD-1 inhibitor-retrospective analysis and pathogenesis: A case report and literature review[J]. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*, 2017, 66(11):1399-1410.

生物制剂用于成人慢性原发性免疫性血小板减少症的治疗进展

许洪铭, 冯锦山* (广东医科大学血液病研究所, 广东湛江 524023)

摘要: 原发性免疫性血小板减少症(ITP)多以糖皮质激素和(或)静脉注射免疫球蛋白等一线治疗为主,但不少慢性ITP患者需二线或更高级药物治疗,如促血小板生成素受体激动剂、利妥昔单抗或联合药物治疗等。现发现新型药物如fostamatinib、新生儿Fc受体(FcRn)抑制剂及新一代促血小板生成素受体激动剂或对成人慢性ITP有较好的疗效。该文就生物制剂如何应用于成人慢性ITP的治疗作一综述。

关键词: 原发性免疫性血小板减少症; 生物制剂; 促血小板生成素受体激动剂

中图分类号: R 558⁺²

文献标志码: A

文章编号: 2096-3610(2022)01-0108-05

Biological agents in adult chronic primary immune thrombocytopenia

XU Hong-ming, FENG Jin-shan* (Institute of Hematology, Guangdong Medical University, Zhanjiang 524023, China)

Abstract: Primary immune thrombocytopenia (ITP) is generally treated with first-line therapy such as glucocorticoids and/or intravenous immunoglobulin. However, second-line or advanced drug therapies including thrombopoietin receptor agonists, rituximab or combination therapy are necessary for some patients with chronic ITP. It has been found that new drugs such as fostamatinib, neonatal Fc receptor (FcRn) inhibitors and new generation thrombopoietin receptor agonists may be effective in adult chronic ITP. This paper reviews the application of biologics in adult chronic ITP.

Key words: Primary immune thrombocytopenia; biologics; thrombopoietin receptor agonists

收稿日期: 2021-08-05

作者简介: 许洪铭(1995-),男,在读硕士研究生

通信作者: 冯锦山(1980-),男,博士,副研究员, E-mail: jinshanfeng@foxmail.com

原发免疫性血小板减少症(ITP)的治疗以减少血小板破坏及促进血小板生成为主要手段。糖皮质激素、利妥昔单抗(RTX)等可抑制抗血小板抗体的产生;新型药物 fostamatinib 及新生儿Fc受体(FcRn)抑制剂等通过减少Fc受体激活从而减少血小板破坏;血小板生成素受体激动剂(TPO-RAs)可以刺激巨核细胞分化成熟,增加血小板生成^[1]。成人慢性ITP通常对一线药物治疗耐受,fostamatinib、FcRn抑制剂及新一代TPO-RAs等生物制剂或能弥补一线药物的不足,提高疗效及安全性。由于ITP复杂性、不稳定性及复发性等特点,其治疗暂未有统一共识,本文就生物制剂如何用于成人慢性ITP治疗作一综述。

1 减少血小板破坏的药物

1.1 RTX

RTX是一种可耗竭B淋巴细胞的嵌合单克隆抗CD20抗体,能够抑制B淋巴细胞产生自身抗体,减少血小板的破坏^[2]。标准剂量(375 mg/m²,每周1次,连续4周)治疗慢性ITP患者,首剂治疗后第24周血小板计数高于50×10⁹/L的患者比例约为30.8%,且发生初步反应的患者中超过一半能获得长期缓解^[3-4]。该药物初始总体反应率为54.0%~66.7%^[5]。标准剂量RTX治疗的患者中年龄小于40岁的年轻女性获得总体缓解(73%)和完全缓解(56%)的概率更大,能够达到和维持反应接近脾切除术的治疗效果^[6]。有研究发现小剂量(100 mg/m²,每周1次,持续4周)RTX治疗效果与标准剂量间无明显差别,且能减少药物副作用及降低成本,其初始总体反应率为52.0%~60.5%^[7]。RTX起效时间较长,约5.5周的反应时间,并可能诱发低丙种球蛋白血症,增加出血、感染的风险,但通常可控制^[2]。关于RTX长期随访的研究较少,慢性ITP患者使用RTX的介入时间及最佳剂量仍未确定,其长期疗效及安全性仍需进一步研究。

1.2 fostamatinib

fostamatinib是一种脾脏酪氨酸激酶(SYK)抑制剂,被用于对先前治疗反应不足的ITP。SYK途径通过Fc受体激活加速血小板的破坏,fostamatinib在肠道内经肠道碱性磷酸酶代谢为R406特异性抑制SYK依赖的Fc受体介导的信号转导,阻断B细胞受体介导的B淋巴细胞激活。剂量通常从100 mg,每天2次开始;如果持续1个月血小板计数未能升至50×10⁹/L,则将剂量加至150 mg,每天2次;如果治疗12周后血小板数量未上升至可避免出血水平时应停药^[8]。在针对成人持续性或慢性ITP患者的两项多中心临床研

究中,fostamatinib组稳定反应率为18%,安慰剂组仅为2%。在最初治疗12周内,fostamatinib组的总有效率也高于安慰剂组^[9]。Bussel等^[10]研究发现18%慢性ITP患者稳定缓解超过28个月,总体缓解率为44%,即使是经促血小板生成药物治疗失败的患者也有34%对fostamatinib总体反应良好。药物中位反应时间为15天,83%的患者在8周内反应,常见的不良事件是腹泻、高血压、恶心、头晕、肝酶升高、中性粒细胞减少等,但多数可自发或通过药物治疗解决^[11]。可见,fostamatinib对成人慢性ITP患者有良好的有效性及安全性。

1.3 FcRn抑制剂

1.3.1 Rozanolixizumab Rozanolixizumab是一种皮下注射的人源化单克隆抗体,通过阻断IgG与FcRn的结合减少IgG循环,加速溶酶体的降解,最后降低IgG水平^[12-13]。Rozanolixizumab在成人慢性ITP患者中表现出良好的安全性,血小板快速大幅升高与IgG显著降低相一致。一项临床研究按不同给药方式将入组患者分为多剂量及单剂量队列。多剂量队列为每周多次给药,分别为4 mg/kg×5 d,7 mg/kg×3 d或10 mg/kg×2 d,累积剂量为20 mg/kg或21 mg/kg;单剂量队列采用每周单次给药,每次输注15 mg/kg或20 mg/kg。实验结果显示,8周观察期内血小板计数≥50×10⁹/L多见于单剂量队列。单剂量队列患者的最低平均IgG水平和最高平均血小板计数均出现在第8天,而多剂量队列患者的最高血小板计数出现在第11天^[14]。66例患者中有19例报告了与治疗相关的不良反应,包括头痛、呕吐和腹泻,反应强度都是轻中度^[14]。由于该药临床数据较少,高剂量单次输注是否比低剂量多次输注更有优势仍需进一步研究。

1.3.2 Efgartigimod Efgartigimod是一种人IgG1抗体Fc片段,在酸性和中性pH下与FcRn的亲和力胜过IgG,导致内源性IgG加速降解^[15]。一项2期临床研究中,38名患者分别接受每周4次的安慰剂或Efgartigimod 5 mg/kg或10 mg/kg的静脉滴注,给药后3 d,Efgartigimod组患者IgG水平明显下降,安慰剂组患者的血清IgG水平无变化。血清IgG水平的降低与临床相关的血小板计数增加有关,治疗组血小板计数平均值均高于对照组。Efgartigimod组出血患者的比例下降,但也出现部分与药物相关的轻中度的出血^[16]。Efgartigimod靶向降低IgG是治疗成人慢性ITP的一种潜在的新疗法,长期疗效及安全性有待进一步评估。

2 促血小板生成的药物

2.1 Eltrombopag

Eltrombopag 是一种口服非肽类 TPO-RAs, 通过刺激骨髓中巨核细胞的增殖和分化, 使正常功能的血小板呈剂量依赖性增加。Eltrombopag 可有效治疗成人慢性 ITP, 对既往治疗无反应的患者升血小板效果显著, 需抢救患者比例较安慰剂组明显降低^[17]。Eltrombopag 的标准起始剂量为 50 mg/d, 如果 2 周内尚未见反应, 则加至最大剂量 75 mg/d^[18]。亚洲患者对本药的药代有所不同, 推荐剂量在慢性 ITP 患者为 25 mg/d, 急性期一线治疗也建议减低至标准剂量的 50%。研究发现长期服用 Eltrombopag 可有效维持血小板计数 $>50 \times 10^9/L$ 或更高, 可减少大多数持续时间超过 6 个月的 ITP 患者出血^[19], 用药后可减轻疲劳, 改善患者的身体机能^[20]。值得注意的是, Eltrombopag 治疗后血小板计数越高, 发生副作用的可能性就越大^[21]。常见副作用是转氨酶升高、血栓栓塞性事件, 严重可导致白内障, 但通过减少剂量、停药及常规眼科检查可减少事件发生^[18]。在整个生命周期范围内使用马尔可夫模型估计每次治疗的收益和成本, 发现 Eltrombopag 或比 Romiplostim 更具经济优势^[22-23]。

2.2 Avatrombopag

多中心研究提示口服剂型 Avatrombopag 能提升慢性 ITP 的血小板计数, 具有良好的耐受性, 最常见不良事件是头痛和挫伤^[24]。最近的研究发现, 接受 20 mg/d 剂量 Avatrombopag 治疗的成人慢性 ITP 患者在第 28 天有效 (血小板计数 $\geq 50 \times 10^9/L$) 或完全有效 (血小板计数 $\geq 100 \times 10^9/L$) 的人数多于安慰剂组, 且超过一半患者可减少或停用皮质类固醇^[25]。该药具有副作用少、无肝毒性等优点, 但缺乏长期安全性数据^[26]。在进一步了解该药在成人慢性 ITP 患者中长期疗效及安全性的情况下, 作为不受饮食限制的口服药物或许更能提高患者依从性从而维持患者的持续缓解状态。

2.3 Hetrombopag

国内多中心研究发现, 7.5 mg/d 持续 2 周可能是治疗成人慢性 ITP 较好的剂量。该剂量下 66.7% 患者在第 28 天血小板计数超过 $50 \times 10^9/L$, 高于 2.5 mg/d 及 5 mg/d 的方案^[27]。然而, 另有研究发现 8 周治疗期内 2.5 mg/d 与 5 mg/d 组患者的疗效相当, 在达到血小板计数应答 (血小板计数 $\geq 50 \times 10^9/L$) 的患者比例相似的情况下, 2.5 mg/d 组患者的血小板计数波动更小, 可能 2.5 mg/d 起始剂量更适合中国患者^[28]。该药最常见的不良反应是上呼吸道感染、尿路感染、免疫性血

小板减少性紫癜和血尿, 程度多是轻中度^[28]。由于目前相关研究较少, 最佳剂量、停药时机和长期疗效等仍需进一步研究。

2.4 Romiplostim

该药通过内源性 TPO 相同的方式结合到 TPO 受体的胞外区域促进血小板生成。慢性 ITP 患者稳定剂量 (每周平均 5~8 $\mu g/kg$), 连续 5 年都可以保持疗效, 有良好的耐受性, 但亦存在副作用, 如骨髓网织蛋白积聚和血栓形成, 部分患者停药后可逆转^[29]。Romiplostim 治疗患者血小板反应率高, 并可改善基线健康相关的生活质量, 减少患者使用糖皮质激素的时间和剂量, 但某些患者对每周皮下注射方式较抵触^[30-31]。一项针对成人慢性 ITP 患者的荟萃分析发现 Romiplostim 在短期疗效和严重不良事件之间具有优于 Eltrombopag 的平衡^[32], 且使用 Romiplostim 的慢性 ITP 患者费用更低, 但在意向性治疗中 Eltrombopag 成本则更低^[33-34]。

3 联合用药

3.1 RTX 联合糖皮质激素

对于单一治疗难以诱导 ITP 获得缓解的患者, 有学者提出利用不同作用机制或不同时间窗等药物联合治疗可能是一种潜在的有效治疗方案。如 4 次注射 RTX 联合 3 次 4 d 周期的地塞米松 (4R+3Dex) 治疗似比单独注射 RTX 或 R+1Dex^[2] 有更高的长期应答率, 且在女性患者中缓解率更高^[35]。治疗持续时间小于 2 年的女性患者, 4R+3Dex 治疗缓解率与脾切除治疗相似^[36]。小剂量 RTX (100 mg, 每周 1 次, 连续 4 周) 联合泼尼松, 并且泼尼松减量维持治疗方法 (60 mg/d 逐渐减量至 10 mg/d) 可提高患者早期完全缓解率^[37]。但此联合方案增加了临床试验毒性, 随着浆细胞的持续抵抗和较低的 IgG 水平, 10% 的患者会出现低丙种球蛋白血症和感染, 甚至可引起血清病^[36]。还有学者发现早期慢性 ITP 患者中, Dex 联合 RTX、环孢素三重疗法能维持大部分患者血小板计数 $\geq 30 \times 10^9/L$, 明显提高无治疗生存率, 特别是存在治疗相关并发症的情况下^[38]。

3.2 RTX 联合促血小板生成药物

RTX 起效时间较长, 而 TPO-RAs 起效时间快但不能达到长期缓解。因此有研究者尝试将 RTX 联合 TPO-RAs 治疗慢性 ITP。在慢性难治性 ITP 患者对激素及 Eltrombopag 单独治疗无效情况下, RTX 与 Eltrombopag 联合治疗能够降低出患者的出血风险^[39]。同样, 小剂量 RTX 与重组人血小板生成素的联合应

用比单一 RTX 治疗的起效时间短,但两组的长期应答率差异没有统计学意义^[40-41]。这种联合方案可提高完全缓解率、降低平均反应时间,为慢性 ITP 患者的治疗提供新思路。

3.3 RTX联合其他药物

成人持续性或慢性 ITP 患者在 B 细胞耗竭的初始阶段加入固定剂量 RTX(1 000 mg,间隔 2 周静脉滴注,共 12 周)联合贝利木单抗 10 mg/kg(12 周内间断静脉滴注 5 次)治疗,80% 患者获得了总体缓解,67% 患者获得完全缓解,且没有观察到严重的不良事件、感染或严重的低丙种球蛋白血症;联合贝利木单抗治疗后循环 T 滤泡辅助细胞的数量较单用 RTX 显著减少^[42]。

4 结语

由于 ITP 致病原因不明、发病机制复杂,对于原发性成人慢性 ITP 目前仍没有统一可行的治疗方案,在多数情况下需要 RTX、TPO-RAs 等药物治疗。近年 SYK 抑制剂、Fc 受体抑制剂、新一代 TPO-RAs 等被证明对慢性 ITP 有良好效果,但其长期安全性及有效性仍缺乏数据支持,与现有常见的药物缺乏直接的对比。另外联合药物的治疗及各联合方案间的比较目前研究仍较少,且联合药物强调个性化治疗,因此成人慢性 ITP 的有效、具体及安全的生物制剂治疗方案仍需要进一步研究。

参考文献:

- [1] WITKOWSKI M, WITKOWSKA M, ROBAK T. Autoimmune thrombocytopenia: Current treatment options in adults with a focus on novel drugs[J]. *Eur J Haematol*, 2019, 103 (6):531-541.
- [2] GHANIMA W, KHELIF A, WAAGE A, et al. Rituximab as second-line treatment for adult immune thrombocytopenia (the RITP trial): A multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial[J]. *Lancet (London, England)*, 2015, 385(9978):1653-1661.
- [3] MIYAKAWA Y, KATSUTANI S, YANO T, et al. Efficacy and safety of rituximab in Japanese patients with relapsed chronic immune thrombocytopenia refractory to conventional therapy [J]. *Int J Hematol*, 2015, 102(6):654-661.
- [4] AL-HABSI K, AL-KHABORI M, AL-MUSLAHI M, et al. Rituximab leads to long remissions in patients with chronic immune thrombocytopenia[J]. *Oman Med J*, 2015, 30(2):111-114.
- [5] PROVAN D, ARNOLD D M, BUSSEL J B, et al. Updated international consensus report on the investigation and management of primary immune thrombocytopenia[J]. *Blood Adv*, 2019, 3(22):3780-3817.
- [6] MARANGON M, VIANELLI N, PALANDRI F, et al. Rituximab in immune thrombocytopenia: gender, age, and response as predictors of long-term response[J]. *Eur J Haematol*, 2017, 98(4):371-377.
- [7] LUCCHINI E, ZAJA F, BUSSEL J. Rituximab in the treatment of immune thrombocytopenia: What is the role of this agent in 2019?[J]. *Haematologica*, 2019, 104(6):1124-1135.
- [8] MARKHAM A. Fostamatinib: First global approval[J]. *Drugs*, 2018, 78(9):959-963.
- [9] BUSSEL J, ARNOLD D M, GROSSBARD E, et al. Fostamatinib for the treatment of adult persistent and chronic immune thrombocytopenia: Results of two phase 3, randomized, placebo-controlled trials[J]. *Am J hematol*, 2018, 93(7): 921-930.
- [10] BUSSEL J B, ARNOLD D M, BOXER M A, et al. Long-term fostamatinib treatment of adults with immune thrombocytopenia during the phase 3 clinical trial program[J]. *Am J Hematol*, 2019, 94(5):546-553.
- [11] CONNELL N T, BERLINER N. Fostamatinib for the treatment of chronic immune thrombocytopenia[J]. *Blood*, 2019, 133(19): 2027-2030.
- [12] SMITH B, KIESSLING A, LLEDO-GARCIA R, et al. Generation and characterization of a high affinity anti-human FcRn antibody, rozanolixizumab, and the effects of different molecular formats on the reduction of plasma IgG concentration [J]. *MAbs*, 2018, 10(7):1111-1130.
- [13] KIESSLING P, LLEDO-GARCIA R, WATANABE S, et al. The FcRn inhibitor rozanolixizumab reduces human serum IgG concentration: A randomized phase 1 study[J]. *Sci Transl Med*, 2017, 9(414):eaan1208.
- [14] ROBAK T, KAZMIERCZAK M, JARQUE I, et al. Phase 2 multiple-dose study of an FcRn inhibitor, rozanolixizumab, in patients with primary immune thrombocytopenia[J]. *Blood Adv*, 2020, 4(17):4136-4146.
- [15] ULRICHTS P, GUGLIETTA A, DREIER T, et al. Neonatal Fc receptor antagonist efgartigimod safely and sustainably reduces IgGs in humans[J]. *J Clin Invest*, 2018, 128(10):4372-4386.
- [16] NEWLAND A C, SANCHEZ-GONZALEZ B, REJTO L, et al. Phase 2 study of efgartigimod, a novel FcRn antagonist, in adult patients with primary immune thrombocytopenia[J]. *Am J Hematol*, 2020, 95(2):178-187.
- [17] HUANG Y T, LIU X F, CHEN Y F, et al. The efficacy and safety of eltrombopag in Chinese patients with chronic immune thrombocytopenia [J]. *Zhonghua Xue Ye Xue Za Zhi*, 2018, 39(1):32-36.
- [18] GHANIMA W, COOPER N, RODEGHIERO F, et al. Thrombopoietin receptor agonists: ten years later[J]. *Haematologica*, 2019, 104(6):1112-1123.
- [19] WONG R S M, SALEH M N, KHELIF A, et al. Safety and

- efficacy of long-term treatment of chronic/persistent ITP with eltrombopag: final results of the EXTEND study[J]. *Blood*, 2017, 130(23):2527-2536.
- [20] KHELIF A, SALEH M N, SALAMA A, et al. Changes in health-related quality of life with long-term eltrombopag treatment in adults with persistent/chronic immune thrombocytopenia: Findings from the EXTEND study[J]. *Am J Hematol*, 2019, 94(2):200-208.
- [21] ÇEKDEMİR D, GUVENC S, OZDEMIRKIRAN F, et al. A multi-center study on the efficacy of eltrombopag in management of refractory chronic immune thrombocytopenia: A real-life experience[J]. *Turk J Haematol*, 2019, 36(4):230-237.
- [22] TREMBLAY G, DOLPH M, ROY A N, et al. The cost-effectiveness of eltrombopag for the treatment of immune thrombocytopenia in the United States[J]. *Clin Ther*, 2020, 42(5):860-872.
- [23] ALLEN R, BRYDEN P, GROTZINGER K M, et al. Cost-effectiveness of eltrombopag versus romiplostim for the treatment of chronic immune thrombocytopenia in England and Wales[J]. *Value Health*, 2016, 19(5):614-622.
- [24] JURCZAK W, CHOJNOWSKI K, MAYER J, et al. Phase 3 randomised study of avatrombopag, a novel thrombopoietin receptor agonist for the treatment of chronic immune thrombocytopenia[J]. *Br J Haematol*, 2018, 183(3):479-490.
- [25] AL-SAMKARI H, NAGALLA S. Efficacy and safety evaluation of avatrombopag in immune thrombocytopenia: Analyses of a phase III study and long-term extension[J]. *Platelets*, 2021, 14:1-8.
- [26] VIRK Z M, KUTER D J, AL-SAMKARI H. An evaluation of avatrombopag for the treatment of thrombocytopenia[J]. *Expert Opin Pharmacother*, 2021, 22(3):273-280.
- [27] WANG Z, CHEN L, ZHANG F, et al. First-in-patient study of hetrombopag in patients with chronic idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura[J]. *J Thromb Haemost*, 2020, 18(11):3053-3060.
- [28] MEI H, LIU X, LI Y, et al. A multicenter, randomized phase III trial of hetrombopag: A novel thrombopoietin receptor agonist for the treatment of immune thrombocytopenia[J]. *J Hematol Oncol*, 2021, 14(1):37.
- [29] KUTER D J, BUSSEL J B, NEWLAND A, et al. Long-term treatment with romiplostim in patients with chronic immune thrombocytopenia: Safety and efficacy[J]. *Br J Haematol*, 2013, 161(3):411-423.
- [30] GILBERT M M, GRIMES A B, KIM T O, et al. Romiplostim for the treatment of immune thrombocytopenia: Spotlight on patient acceptability and ease of use[J]. *Patient Prefer Adherence*, 2020, 14:1237-1250.
- [31] LOZANO M L, GODEAU B, GRAINGER J, et al. Romiplostim in adults with newly diagnosed or persistent immune thrombocytopenia[J]. *Expert Rev Hematol*, 2020, 13(12):1319-1332.
- [32] PUAVILAI T, THADANIPON K, RATTANASIRI S, et al. Treatment efficacy for adult persistent immune thrombocytopenia: A systematic review and network meta-analysis[J]. *Br J Haematol*, 2020, 188(3):450-459.
- [33] FUST K, PARTHAN A, LI X, et al. Cost per response analysis of strategies for chronic immune thrombocytopenia[J]. *Am J Manag Care*, 2018, 24(8 Spec No.):SP294-SP302.
- [34] TREMBLAY G, DOLPH M, BHOR M, et al. Cost-consequence model comparing eltrombopag versus romiplostim for adult patients with chronic immune thrombocytopenia[J]. *Clinicoecon Outcomes Res*, 2018, 10:705-713.
- [35] CHAPIN J, LEE C S, ZHANG H, et al. Gender and duration of disease differentiate responses to rituximab-dexamethasone therapy in adults with immune thrombocytopenia[J]. *Am J Hematol*, 2016, 91(9):907-911.
- [36] BUSSEL J B, LEE C S, SEERY C, et al. Rituximab and three dexamethasone cycles provide responses similar to splenectomy in women and those with immune thrombocytopenia of less than two years duration[J]. *Haematologica*, 2014, 99(7):1264-1271.
- [37] XING W W, LI Z Y, YAN Z L, et al. Efficacy and safety of low-dose rituximab combined with different dosage of glucocorticoids for immune thrombocytopenia[J]. *Zhonghua Xue Ye Xue Za Zhi*, 2013, 34(5):409-412.
- [38] THABET A F, MOEEN S M. More about the combination of rituximab, cyclosporine and dexamethasone in the treatment of chronic ITP. A useful option on an environment with limited resources[J]. *Platelets*, 2020, 31(6):784-787.
- [39] AHMED M, YASSIN M A, ABDELMAHMUD E. Steroid-refractory chronic idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura responding to combination therapy with eltrombopag and rituximab[J]. *Cureus*, 2020, 12(9):e10305.
- [40] LI Y, WANG Y Y, FEI H R, et al. Efficacy of low-dose rituximab in combination with recombinant human thrombopoietin in treating ITP[J]. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci*, 2015, 19(9):1583-1588.
- [41] ZHOU H, XU M, QIN P, et al. A multicenter randomized open-label study of rituximab plus rhTPO vs rituximab in corticosteroid-resistant or relapsed ITP[J]. *Blood*, 2015, 125(10):1541-1547.
- [42] MAHEVAS M, AZZAOU I, CRICKX E, et al. Efficacy, safety and immunological profile of combining rituximab with belimumab for adults with persistent or chronic immune thrombocytopenia: Results from a prospective phase 2b trial[J]. *Haematologica*, 2020, 106(9):2449-2457.